

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,079	04/25/2002	Norman Bitterlich	F-7252	5552	
28107	7590 10/12/2006		EXAMINER		
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP			LE, LINH GIANG		
122 EAST 42N	ND STREET				
SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10168			3626	
			DATE MAIL CD. 10/13/2006		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/018,079	BITTERLICH ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Linh-Giang Le	3626		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	i. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ap	<u>oril 2002</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10)🖾 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2002 is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te		
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>021003</u> .	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/018,079

Art Unit: 3626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Notice to Applicant

The communication is in response to the application filed 25 April 2002.
 Claims 1-2 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rensimer.
- 4. As per claim 1 Rensimer teaches a method for acquiring and evaluating data during the admission of a patient for surgery, wherein the patient data is acquired electronically, all inquiries concerning the admission of the patient being made automatically over a programmed, interactive data acquisition unit (Rensimer; Summary of the Invention), so that:

A routine sequence of basic questions is maintained (Rensimer; Col. 5, lines 7-19),

The input is checked for compatibility and plausibility (Rensimer; Col. 5, lines 24-32),

The completeness of the information is checked in relation to risk evaluation,

Optionally, additional necessary information is obtained (Rensimer; Col. 5, lines
7-19).

The absence of information with regard to the consequences for the evaluating unit is estimated (Rensimer; Col. 5, lines 7-19) and,

All admission activities are recorded (Rensimer; Col. 5, lines 7-19),.

That, at any time of the data acquisition, and the risk is evaluated from the actual state of the information, that, in the course of the data acquisition, with each risk evaluation, a list is presented of further urgently necessary entries and that unanswered questions are acknowledged as such, in order to record that the due diligence obligation during the data acquisition has been fulfilled (Rensimer; Col. 7, line 20 to Col. 8, line 23).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which

Application/Control Number: 10/018,079

Art Unit: 3626

said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the

Page 4

invention was made.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Rensimer.

7. As per claim 2, Rensimer does not expressly teach wherein the risk is

evaluated at every point in the data acquisition from the actual state of the

information so that the

Each input value of the data acquisition is given a value between 0 and 1 in

regard to its effect on the surgical risk, a 0 being assigned if a risk-increasing

effect on the course of the surgery is not to be expected from concrete

information, and the value of 1 being assigned if, on the base of experience, the

dramatic surgical complications cannot be excluded for the concrete information

provided,

Each input field in an input device is occupied by a standard number the risk

assignment to a risk group

1=none

2=slight

3=moderate

4=serious and

5=dramatic surgical complications

Application/Control Number: 10/018,079

Art Unit: 3626

However, this is well known in the art as evidenced by Rensimer. In particular, Rensimer teaches a decision-making process including risk of complications with three different levels – "minimal," "low," "moderate," and "high" (Rensimer; Col. 3, line 62-67). It would have been obvious to add this feature with the motivation of tracking the efficacy of specific treatment protocols (Rensimer; Col. 1, line 63 to Col. 2, line 20).

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh-Giang Le whose telephone number is 571-272-8207. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 5PM, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

Art Unit: 3626

free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Carolyn Blek Patent Examiner 3626 10/2/06